



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address OC MMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS FO Box 1450 Alexa fra Vigoria 22313/1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 935,156	08 23 2001	Mona Masghati	1027co	1961	
25263	7590 05 07 2003				
J GRANT HOUSTON			EXAMINER		
AXSUN TECHNOLOGIES INC 1 FORTUNE DRIVE BILLERICA, MA 01821			PATEL, TULSIDAS C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839	2839	
			DATE MAILED: 05 07 2003	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. •	09/935,156	MASGHATI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	T. C. Patel	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S C § 133)				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)[∑] Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11 and 14-31</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11 and 14-28</u> is/are allowed.						
6). Claim(s) <u>29-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	minor.					
_	onionity and on OF LLC C S 440(a)	(4) (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	hava haan saad ad					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
5 Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/935,156

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a Final Action on the Merits for the CIP. Claims 1-8, 10, 11 and 14-31 are pending in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a, e) as being anticipated by Hargreaves et al. (US 6,074,103).

Hargreaves et al. in figures 1 and 4J, discloses a method of installing an optical component 4, on an optical bench 1, the method comprising determining the position of optical

Application/Control Number: 09/935,156

Art Unit: 2839

component by reference to an alignment feature (bottom edge) of the optical component 4, (figures 1 and 2), optical component having coating 14 that is used to attach the optical component to the bench, the coating being plated on or applied to the optical component and determining the position of the optical bench by reference to the bench alignment feature such as trench or groove 11 and bonding the optical component with the bench.

4. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Yap (US 5,611,008)).

Yap in figures 1-5, discloses a method of installing an optical component 24, 26, on an optical bench 41, the method comprising determining the position of optical component by reference to an alignment feature 86 (bonding pad) of the optical component, (figure 2), optical component having coating 70A that is used to attach the optical component to the bench, the coating being plated on or applied to the optical component and determining the position of the optical bench by reference to the bench alignment feature such as bonding pad 76 and bonding the optical component with the bench.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-8, 10, 11, 14-28 are allowed.

Independent claims recites optical component with re-entrant wall and the re-entrant wall being coated/plated with bonding material along with other limitations, defines the claims over the art of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,156

Art Unit: 2839

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant has argued on all the claims, and in response, the Examiner has withdrawn the rejection based on Do '196 and Collins '685 references under 102. However, the examiner has maintained the claim rejection for claims 29-31, using Hargreaves et al. reference and also has added rejection of these claims based on Yap '008 reference. The Examiner would also like to point out to the specification page 9, lines 16-19, wherein the Applicant has stated that "Such solder bonding is common in carrier-class optical systems because of the long-term stability and because it represents a relatively "clean" bonding process."

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,156 Page 5
Art Unit: 2839

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. C. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736.

T. C. Patel

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

tcp May 4, 2003